

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,124	07/08/2004	Anthony Gerome Edwards		2671
ANTHONY EDWARDS 13700 TAHITI WAY #153 MARINA DEL REY, CA 90292			EXAMINER	
			WEAVER, SUE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/791,124	EDWARDS, ANTH	ONY GEROME
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sue A. Weaver	3781	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	iress
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 16(a). In no event, however, may rill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this col ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
2a) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•	merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 3-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are wither Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3-13 and 16-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ison Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2004 is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection and drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r. ☐ accepted or b) objection is required if the drawing of the	ected to by the Examiner. vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	
. 11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3781

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the adhesive of claims 3-6, 8 and 16-18 and the covering of claims 4-6 and 17 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Page 2

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or Application/Control Number: 10/791,124

Art Unit: 3781

remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Page 3

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

- 2. Newly submitted claim14 and 15 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 3-13 and 16-18, drawn to an article of manufacture involving luggage, classified in class 190, subclass 18A.

Art Unit: 3781

II. Claims 14 and 15, drawn to a method of assembly for luggage, classified in class 29, subclass to be determined.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

4. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be sued in a materially different process. For example the sheet with the image does not require protective covers on both sides and could be assemble by first putting the image on the sheet and the placing the sheet on the wheel instead of the manner claimed in claim 14.

Applicant is further cautioned that there isn't any support for the method as claimed in claim 14 nor is it shown or reflected in the drawings.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 14 and 15 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3781

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miles et al '959, of record in view of Connell '502.

Miles teaches applicant's concept of providing a cover on the external surface of a wheel of wheel luggage. To have provided the cover with adhesive on both surfaces of the sheet with covers to protect the adhesive would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Connell in column 3.

7. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen '732.

Chen teaches applicant's concept of providing a flap on the upper front of wheel luggage to provide ease of access into the interior. Note the zipper on the flap as shown in Figure 2A.

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Grebenstein '920.

To have merely provided the flap with an external zippered pocket for holding additional items in the manner of Grebenstein at 15 would have been most obvious.

- 9. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Miles et al '959 and Connell '502 in the manner applied to claims 1-6 above.
- 10. Claims 7,9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 6, 8 and 18 above, and further in view of Defreitas '376.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,124 Page 6

Art Unit: 3781

To have formed the picture as a photograph would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Defreitas

- 11. Applicant's arguments, see page 6 of the amendment, filed 9/7/07, with respect to the objection to the abstract have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of the abstract has been withdrawn.
- 12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-13 and 16-19* have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 1 and 2 have been canceled and 14 and 15 withdrawn from consideration.
- 13. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

Signature:

sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on _______(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with

Application/Control Number: 10/791,124

Page 7

Art Unit: 3781

	Registration Number:
	Certificate of Transmission
	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. () on (Date)
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
	Signature:
	Registration Number:
facsin	Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning nile transmissions and mailing, respectively.
	\cdot

SW

SUE A. WEAVER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3200